

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,595	04/02/2001	Randall Scott Springfield	RPS9 2000 0016	1231
75	90 08/05/2004		EXAMI	NER
I. B. M. Corp., Intellectual Property Law Personal and Printing Systems Group			GYORFI, THOMAS A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dept. 9CCA/Bldg. 002-2 P.O. Box 12195 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			2135	<i>A</i>
			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s	s) (			
	09/824,595	SPRINGFIE	SPRINGFIELD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tom Gyorfi	2135				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover	sheet with the corresponde	nce address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a i  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, howeverther within the statutory minor will apply and will expire tute, cause the application to	over, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be consider SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1	of this communication. 33).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u> </u>					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election require	ment.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action or fo	orm PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
· · _	ents have heen rece	ived				
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>					
<u> </u>	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bure	-					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified co	pies not received.				
Attachment(s)		latandary Correspond (DTC) 440				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	· —	Notice of Informal Patent Application	on (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/2/01</u> .  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) [	Other:				
	Action Summary	Part of Paper No.	/Mail Date 07262004			

Art Unit: 2135

1. Claims 1-12 have been examined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grawrock (U.S. Patent 6,678,833).

Regarding claim 1, Grawrock discloses a method of protecting boot block data and accurately reporting boot block contents. In the invention disclosed by Grawrock, a boot block memory unit (element 220 of Figure 2) loads upon startup of a computer platform (element 100 of Figure 1) and records an identifier into a memory location (element 330, Figure 3) contained within a Trusted Program Module (TPM: element 230 of Figure 3; method: element 410 of Figure 4). The identifier is created by means of a

cryptographic operation, either by digital signing or by hashing (column 2, lines 35-46). Next, the boot block memory locates and loads the BIOS for execution; a BIOS identifier is then similarly recorded. During the computer's normal operation, the TPM is available for inquiry requests from unspecified challengers, either internal or external to the computer platform. The TPM can respond to valid requests with a digital signature featuring the boot block identifier, keying material, certificates, and the like (column 4, lines 10-18). This is understood to mean that the boot source used by the processor during a given system boot can be determined. Furthermore, in one embodiment of the invention disclosed by Grawrock, the boot block identifier can be recorded into non-volatile memory on the first startup of the platform, and then the value can be retained for use in subsequent startups (column 3, lines 62-67). This is understood to mean that the boot source is specified once as a known boot source.

Regarding claim 4, again note that the invention disclosed by Grawrock writes the boot block identifier and the BIOS identifier (the boot sources for the platform) into special memory locations inside the TPM (column 4, lines 25-30).

Regarding claim 6, the invention disclosed by Grawrock contains a processor (element 110 of Figure 1) and a boot source comprising a boot block unit (element 220 of Figure 2) and a BIOS (element 340 of Figure 3). The processor and boot source are coupled together via a bridge comprising a memory control hub (MCH) and an I/O control hub (ICH) as illustrated in Figure 1. The ICH is connected to a packaged IC device (element 150) containing a boot block memory unit and a Trusted Program Module via a link (element 160, Figure 1). Inside the Trusted Program Module is a

Art Unit: 2135

memory that contains a boot block identifier and a BIOS identifier. As noted previously, the boot block identifier can be embodied in such a way as to have the identity of the boot block be written once, and stored for subsequent startups (column 3, lines 62-67). Therefore, the boot block identifier is understood to be equivalent to the second register that allows the boot source to be specified once as a known boot source. Similarly, the BIOS identifier is understood to be equivalent to the first register that stores an identity of the boot source used by the processor each time the computer system boots.

Regarding claim 7, as noted above the processor and boot source are coupled by means of a bridge comprising the MCH and ICH units (Figures 1 and 3). It should be noted that in one embodiment of the invention disclosed by Grawrock, the MCH and ICH are integrated into a chipset together, thus functioning as one component (column 3, lines 7-9). In addition, the Trusted Program Module containing the boot source identifiers is connected to the ICH (element 160 of Figure 1). Grawrock teaches that in one embodiment of this invention, the packaged IC device containing the TPM may be employed within the ICH, thus incorporating its functionality into the ICH directly (column 3, lines 18-24). In that embodiment, the memory containing the boot source identifiers would be located within the bridge.

Regarding claim 9, again note that the boot block identifier can be embodied such that it is written only once to the appropriate memory location, and the value stored therein is retained for use in future startups (column 3, lines 62-67).

Art Unit: 2135

Regarding claim 11, again note that the BIOS identifier is written to the appropriate memory location in the TPM each time the computer system boots (column 4, lines 25-30).

Page 5

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 3, 5, 10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grawrock as applied to claims 1, 6, and 9 above, and further in view of Angelo (U.S. Patent 5,944,821).

Regarding claims 2 and 10, Grawrock does not explicitly teach that the boot source is a flash boot source. However, Angelo teaches a computer system having a flash ROM containing the BIOS information (Angelo, column 7, lines 30-34). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention disclosed by Applicant to utilize a flash ROM containing the BIOS in the invention disclosed by Grawrock. This would allow an authorized user to reprogram the BIOS with relative ease so as to accommodate future revisions of the BIOS.

Regarding claim 3, as noted above the flash ROM disclosed by Angelo contains BIOS information, but not necessarily information regarding the boot block. However, Grawrock teaches that it is possible to embody his invention in a manner such that the

entire BIOS may be substituted for the boot block code (Grawrock, column 3, lines 40-47). Thus, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention disclosed by Applicant that one could substitute the identifier for the Flash BIOS in place of the boot block identifier. Since it has already been established that the memory location for the boot block identifier can be designated as a write-once memory location (Grawrock, column 3, lines 62-67), the Flash BIOS identifier can then be written once into memory and identified for future boots. Doing so adds extra security by including a potential means for detecting boot block tampering that cannot itself be tampered with.

Regarding claims 5 and 12, Grawrock recites that the method disclosed in his invention is unable to detect modifications to information regarding the boot process (column 1, lines 45-48). However, Angelo discloses a method by which programs are registered for execution in secure memory contained in a computer system by means of hash identifiers stored in a hash table. For each program that is to be registered, an identifier is generated through a hashing algorithm and stored in a hash table in secure memory (Angelo, column 9, lines 3-12). Note that the means to generate an identifier are equivalent between the two patents (Angelo, column 9, lines 35-45; Grawrock, column 2, lines 40-46). When a user wishes to execute a program on the computer system as disclosed by Angelo, a hash signature of the program in its present form is generated, and the computer system compares it to the stored hash signature of the program as it was registered. If the signatures match, the program is allowed to run (Angelo, column 10, lines 16-26). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill

Art Unit: 2135

in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the act of comparing two hash values for equality as disclosed in Angelo into the system disclosed by Grawrock.

Recall that the BIOS identifier can be used in place of the boot block identifier, as noted in the rationale for rejection of claim 3. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention could store the known value of the BIOS in the boot block identifier memory location and current value of the BIOS in the BIOS identifier memory location. It would then be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to compare the contents of those two memory locations at startup to verify that the current boot source is the known boot source. In this manner, one can detect modifications to information regarding the boot process, thus correcting a known flaw in the invention disclosed by Grawrock and also providing for a more secure computer platform.

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grawrock as applied to claims 6 and 7 above, and further in view of the articles "VIA's New South Bridge: VT82C686B Supporting UltraATA/100", by Patrick Schmid, published October 26, 2000 (henceforth "the VIA article"); and "Intel Pentium III i815e Motherboard Shootout", by Mickey Sethi, published December 8, 2000 (henceforth "the Pentium article").

The term "south bridge" does not appear in the text of the Grawrock patent; however, Grawrock discloses an I/O controller hub, or ICH, that communicates with peripherals attached via a PCI bus, USB bus, or ISA bus (column 3, lines 10-17). The VIA article teaches that a south bridge, as typically understood by one of ordinary skill in

Page 7

specified in Applicant's claim.

Art Unit: 2135

the art, controls various peripherals including I/O ports and USB devices (page 2, paragraph 1, "Chipsets Basics"). In addition, it teaches that at the time the invention by Applicant was made, the Intel Corporation had elected to discontinue the use of the north and south bridge nomenclature in favor of the terms MCH and ICH (page 2, paragraph 3, "Chipsets Basics"). Corroborating this teaching is the Pentium article, which explicitly teaches that the ICH is a direct equivalent to a south bridge (page 1, paragraph 4, "Introduction"). Thus it is self-evidently obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that an ICH can be substituted for a south bridge as

## **Conclusion**

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - U.S. Patent 5,537,540 (Miller et al.), "Transparent, Secure Computer Virus Detection Method and Apparatus";
  - U.S. Patent 5,421,006 (Jablon et al.), "Method and Apparatus for Assessing Integrity of Computer Software";
  - "Super Video Graphics Array Support by Notebook PC", IBM Technical
     Disclosure Bulletin Volume 37, Issue 6B, pages 71-72, 06/01/94.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2135

Page 9

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Gyorfi whose telephone number is (703) 308-4954. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (703) 305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TAG - 07/27/2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM"
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100